## metal-organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

## Gerard A. van Albada,<sup>a</sup>\* Aminou Mohamadou,<sup>b</sup> Ilpo Mutikainen,<sup>c</sup> Urho Turpeinen<sup>c</sup> and Jan Reedijk<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Leiden Institute of Chemistry, Gorlaeus Laboratories, Leiden University, PO Box 9502, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands, <sup>b</sup>GRECI (Groupe de Recherche en Chimie Inorganique), Université de Reims Champagne-Ardenne, Faculté des Sciences, Moulin de la Housse, BP 1039, 51687 Reims, France, and <sup>c</sup>University of Helsinki, Department of Chemistry, Laboratory of Inorganic Chemistry, FIN-00014 Helsinki, Finland

Correspondence e-mail: g.albada@chem.leidenuniv.nl

#### Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 173 K Mean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.007 Å Disorder in main residue R factor = 0.060 wR factor = 0.163 Data-to-parameter ratio = 15.9

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

© 2004 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

# catena-Poly[[[(ethylenediamine- $\kappa^2 N, N'$ )zinc(II)]- $\mu$ -oxalato] dihydrate]

Received 9 June 2004

Accepted 7 July 2004

Online 24 July 2004

In the crystal structure of the title compound,  $\{[Zn(C_2O_4)(C_2H_8N_2)]\cdot 2H_2O\}_n$ , the Zn<sup>II</sup> atom adopts an octahedral geometry, formed by two N atoms of an ethylenediamine ligand [Zn-N = 2.112 (4)-2.124 (4)) Å] and four O atoms of two bridging oxalate ligands [Zn-O = 2.105 (3)-2.154 (3) Å]. The asymmetric unit consists of one and one-half formula units.

#### Comment

The title compound, (I), was obtained by *in situ* synthesis during the preparation of a zinc(II) compound with the ligand 2,2'-biimidazoline (abbreviated as biz) and ammonium thiocyanate. The ligand biz is known to hydrolyse *in situ* to form new organic ligands (Woodburn & O'Gee 1952; Wang & Bauman, 1965; van Albada *et al.*, 2003). In this study, it has been observed that the biz ligand hydrolyses to give ethylenediamine molecules and oxalate anions, a type of hydrolysis which was already reported in the literature (Woodburn & O'Gee, 1952).



The Zn atom adopts an octahedral geometry, formed by two N atoms of an ethylenediamine ligand [Zn-N = 2.112 (4)-2.124 (4) Å] and four O atoms of two bridging oxalate ligands [Zn-O = 2.105 (3)-2.154 (3) Å, see Table 1 for details], forming a polymeric one-dimensional array of Zn-oxalate units (Fig. 1). Such a polymeric Zn<sup>II</sup>-oxalate array has only been reported once previously, with the ligand 2-methylimidazole (Jansen *et al.*, 1979). The asymmetric unit consists of one and one-half formula units, one Zn atom lying on a twofold rotation axis.

The crystal structure of (I) is stabilized by intricate intermolecular hydrogen bonding between the amine N atoms and the O atoms of the oxalate ligand and the water molecules, with  $N \cdots O$  distances in the range 3.121 (6)–3.210 (6) Å, and



## Figure 1

Part of the molecular structure of (I), showing 50% probability displacement ellipsoids. The non-coordinating water molecules have been omitted for clarity. Only one site of the disordered ethylenediamine molecule is shown. Atom labels with suffixes A, B and C are generated by the symmetry codes  $(1 - x, y, \frac{3}{2} - z), (\frac{1}{2} - x, \frac{1}{2} - y, 1 - z)$  and  $(\frac{1}{2} + x, \frac{1}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2} - z)$  $\frac{1}{2} + z$ ), respectively.

between the O atoms of the oxalate ligand and the O atoms of the water molecules, with  $O \cdots O$  distances ranging from 2.736 (6)-2.895 (4) Å (Table 2).

## **Experimental**

The ligand 2,2'-biimidazoline (biz) was prepared according to a method reported in the literature (Wang & Bauman, 1965). The title Zn complex was obtained by Zn-induced hydrolysis of the biz ligand. The title compound was synthesized by mixing biz (2 mmol) and zinc(II) perchlorate hexahydrate (1 mmol) in an ethanol solution. To the resulting solution, an aqueous solution (3 ml) of ammonium thiocyanate (2 mmol) was added dropwise, and the mixture was boiled for about 1 h. It was then filtered and left to stand at room temperature. After about one month, white crystals were obtained, which were recrystallized from an ethanol-acetonitrile (1:1) solution (yield 66%). Elemental analysis [found (calculated)] for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>36</sub>- $N_6O_{18}Zn_3$ : C 19.5 (19.3), H 4.9 (4.8), N 11.4% (11.2%). In the IR spectrum, the OH and NH vibrations were observed at 3488, 3410 and 3361 cm<sup>-1</sup>, while the C=O vibration of the oxalate ligand was observed at 1602  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ .

#### Crystal data

$[Zn(C_2O_4)(C_2H_8N_2)]\cdot 2H_2O$	$D_x = 1.837 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
$M_r = 249.53$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
Monoclinic, $C2/c$	Cell parameters from 20 214
a = 25.757 (5)  Å	reflections
b = 9.053 (3) Å	$\theta = 2.9-27.6^{\circ}$
c = 11.623(2)  Å	$\mu = 2.73 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 93.05 \ (3)^{\circ}$	T = 173 (2) K
$V = 2706.4 (11) \text{ Å}^3$	Prism, colorless
Z = 12	$0.20 \times 0.12 \times 0.10 \text{ mm}$

## Data collection

Nonius KappaCCD area-detector	3121 independent reflections
diffractometer	2425 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
$\varphi$ and $\omega$ scans	$R_{\rm int} = 0.044$
Absorption correction: multi-scan	$\theta_{\rm max} = 27.6^{\circ}$
(SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)	$h = -33 \rightarrow 33$
$T_{\min} = 0.606, T_{\max} = 0.764$	$k = -11 \rightarrow 11$
20 214 measured reflections	$l = -14 \rightarrow 15$

Refinement

$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0528P)^2]$
+ 25.3123 <i>P</i> ]
where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 1.47 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -2.17 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

### Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

.65.94 (14) .73.48 (14) .73.90 (15)	$O3-Zn2-O3^{i}$ N3-Zn2-O4	164.82 (17) 176.95 (15)
65.94 (14) 73.48 (14)	$O3-Zn2-O3^i$ N3-Zn2-O4	164.82 (17) 176.95 (15)
65.94 (14)	$O3-Zn2-O3^{i}$	164.82 (17)
2.149 (3)		
2.116 (3)	Zn2-O4	2.148 (3)
2.116 (4)	Zn2-O3	2.127 (3)
2.112 (4)	Zn2-N3	2.124 (4)
2.105 (3)	Zn1-O1	2.154 (3)
	2.105 (3) 2.112 (4) 2.116 (4) 2.116 (3) 2.149 (3)	2.105 (3) Zn1-O1 2.112 (4) Zn2-N3 2.116 (4) Zn2-O3 2.116 (3) Zn2-O4 2.149 (3)

Symmetry code: (i)  $1 - x, y, \frac{3}{2} - z$ .

## Table 2

Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °).

$\overline{D-\mathrm{H}\cdot\cdot\cdot A}$	$D-\mathrm{H}$	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
N1-H11A···O8	0.92	2.29	3.174 (5)	160
$N1 - H11B \cdots O8$	0.92	2.46	3.174 (5)	135
$N1 - H12A \cdot \cdot \cdot O9^{vi}$	0.92	2.29	3.133 (6)	153
$N1 - H12B \cdots O9^{vi}$	0.92	2.42	3.133 (6)	135
$N2 - H21A \cdot \cdot \cdot O9^{ii}$	0.92	2.27	3.166 (7)	165
$N2 - H21B \cdot \cdot \cdot O9^{ii}$	0.92	2.46	3.166 (7)	134
$N2 - H22A \cdots O8^{iii}$	0.92	2.36	3.210 (6)	154
$N2 - H22B \cdots O8^{iii}$	0.92	2.46	3.210 (6)	139
$N3-H31\cdotsO10^{iv}$	0.83	2.36	3.121 (6)	153
$N3-H32 \cdot \cdot \cdot O10^{v}$	0.93	2.35	3.147 (6)	144
$O8-H81\cdots O4^{i}$	0.84	2.08	2.895 (4)	166
$O8-H82 \cdot \cdot \cdot O2^{vii}$	0.87	1.89	2.747 (5)	168
$O9-H91\cdots O5^{v}$	0.84	1.92	2.736 (6)	164
O9−H92···O1	0.84	2.05	2.852 (6)	159
$O10-H101\cdots O3^{vi}$	0.84	1.98	2.757 (6)	152
$O10-H102\cdots O6^{ii}$	0.84	2.00	2.832 (5)	167

Symmetry codes: (i)  $1 - x, y, \frac{3}{2} - z$ ; (ii)  $\frac{1}{2} - x, \frac{1}{2} - y, 1 - z$ ; (iii)  $x, -y, z - \frac{1}{2}$ ; (iv)  $\frac{1}{2} + x, \frac{1}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2} + z$ ; (v)  $\frac{1}{2} - x, \frac{1}{2} + y, \frac{3}{2} - z$ ; (vi)  $\frac{1}{2} - x, y - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2} - z$ ; (vii)  $x, -y, \frac{1}{2} + z$ .

One of the ethylenediamine ligands was found to be disordered over two conformations. The occupancies of the two conformers were initially refined to 0.56 (1) and 0.44 (1), respectively, but later fixed at 0.50 each. The C- and N-bound H atoms were placed in calculated positions (N-H = 0.92 Å and C-H = 0.99 Å) and allowed to ride on their parent atoms, with  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}$  (parent atom). The H atoms of the water molecules were located in a difference map and their positions were not refined but their  $U_{iso}(H)$  values were set equal to  $1.5U_{eq}(O).$ 

Data collection: COLLECT (Nonius, 2002); cell refinement: COLLECT and DENZO/SCALEPACK (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997); data reduction: COLLECT and DENZO/SCALEPACK; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997a); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997a); molecular graphics: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 1997b); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

The work described in this paper was supported by the Leiden University Study group WFMO (Werkgroep Fundamenteel Materialen Onderzoek).

## References

- Albada, G. A. van, Mohamadou, A., Reedijk, J., Kooijman, H. & Spek, A. L. (2003). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 629, 2190–2194.
- Jansen, J. C., van Koningsveld, H. & van Ooijen, J. A. C. (1979). Cryst. Struct. Commun. 8, 499–505.

Nonius (2002). COLLECT. Nonius BV, Delft, The Netherlands.

- Otwinowski, Z. & Minor, W. (1997). *Methods in Enzymology*, Vol. 276, *Macromolecular Crystallography*, Part A, edited by C. W. Carter Jr & R. M. Sweet, pp. 307–326. New York: Academic Press.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997a). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997b). SHELXTL. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Wang, J. C. & Bauman, J. E. Jr (1965). Inorg. Chem. 4, 1613-1615.
- Woodburn, H. M. & O'Gee, R. C. (1952). J. Org. Chem. 17, 1235–1241.